



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,019	09/08/2004	Tsunechisa Sanagi	DK-US020672	7705
22919	7590	01/30/2008		
GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP 1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			EXAMINER NALVEN, EMILY IRIS	
			ART UNIT 3744	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/30/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/507,019

Applicant(s)

SANAGI, TSUNEHISA

Examiner

Emily I. Nalven

Art Unit

3744

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Emily I. Nalven.

(3) John Robbins.

(2) Frantz Jules.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1, 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bostwich (US Patent Pub. No. 2002/014188.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney for applicant claimed that Bostwick does not teach 48 to be a cooling air hole and rather it contains a bearing (para 29). However, the examiner pointed out that there are many openings on the on the main plate (20) that could constitute a cooling air hole such as the multiple openings 60 (see Fig. 1). These cooling air holes meet with the air coming in from the holes 106 on the side opposite the electric motor (64) (see Fig. 6) and mix with the air stream coming in from 96 and that flows radially outward to the side of the main plate opposite the electric motor (64) and thus meeting the limitation of claim 1 (lines 11-12) and claim 2 (lines 11-12) .

FRANTZ JULES  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Frantz Jules', with a stylized, sweeping flourish extending from the end of the name.